MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,

Plaintiff (s),

v.

ADI.

FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., Defendant(s).

FILED

No. C 08-00979 178 15 P 3: 40

ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES (A.S.)

IT IS HEREBY ORIGINED that this action is assigned to the Honorable Bernard Zimmerman. When serving the complaint or notice of removal, the plaintiff or removing defendant must serve on all other parties a copy of this order, the Notice of Assignment of Case to a United States Magistrate Judge for Trial, and all other documents specified in Civil Local Rule 4-2. Counsel must comply with the case schedule listed below unless the Court otherwise orders.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is assigned to the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Multi-Option Program governed by <u>ADR Local Rule 3</u>. Counsel and clients shall familiarize themselves with that rule and with the material entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" on the Court ADR Internet site at <u>www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov</u>. A limited number of printed copies are available from the Clerk's Office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF).

CASE SCHEDULE -ADR MULTI-OPTION PROGRAM

Date	Event	Governing Rule
2/15/2008	Complaint filed	
5/19/2008	*Last day to: • meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan	FRCivP_26(f) & ADR L.R.3-5
	 file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference 	Civil_L.R. 16-8
6/2/2008	*Last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement per attached Standing Order re Contents of Joint Case Management Statement (also available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov)	FRCivP 26(a) (1) Civil L.R. 16-9
6/9/2008	INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC) in Ctrm G, 15th Floor, SF at 4:00 PM	Civil L.R. 16-10

^{*} If the Initial Case Management Conference is continued, the other deadlines are continued accordingly.

٧.

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

ORDER SETTING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Defendant.

[Cases Excluded Under Civil L.R. 16-1(c)]

L.R. 16-1(g), a Case Management Conference will be held in this case before the Honorable Bernard Zimmerman on Monday ________ at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom G, 15th floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. This conference shall be attended by <u>lead</u> trial counsel for parties who are represented. All parties who are proceeding without counsel must appear personally.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within 30 days of filing the complaint,

PLAINTIFF shall serve and file either a written consent to Magistrate Judge

Zimmerman's jurisdiction or a written request for reassignment to a district judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within 45 days of filing the complaint, and in accordance with Civil L.R. 4-2, **PLAINTIFF** shall complete service of the complaint on at least one defendant and file either a waiver of service or a

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

certification of service of process. Failure to file the waiver of service or a certification of service will result in issuance by the Court of an Order to Show Cause why the complaint should not be dismissed for failure of prosecution.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than 10 days before the Case Management Conference, each party shall file a Case Management Statement containing at least the following information:

- (1) A brief description of the events underlying the lawsuit;
- (2) A statement that all defendants (or third party-defendants, if any) have been served, or information about when the party plans to serve all remaining defendants;
- (3) What discovery the party intends to take, including, but not limited to, the identity of all persons the party intends to depose.

In addition, the parties must be prepared to discuss knowledgeably with the Court at the Case Management Conference any of the other subjects listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 or in Civil L.R. 16-8.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **PLAINTIFF** shall serve a copy of this Order and the attached Standing Orders on all parties to this action and on any parties subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 and 5 and Civil L.R. 16-2(a). Following service, **PLAINTIFF** shall file a certificate of service with the Clerk of this Court.

Failure to comply with this Order or the Local Rules of this Court may result in sanctions, including the dismissal of the complaint or the entry of a default. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f), Civil L.R. 1-4.

Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge

APPENDIX A - JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

v.	Plaintiff(s),	 	CASE NO. JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER
1	Defendant(s).	1	

The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this Case Management Statement and Proposed Order and request the Court to adopt it as its Case Management Order in this case.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE

- 1. A brief description of the events underlying the action:
- 2. The principal factual issues which the parties dispute:
- 3. The principal legal issues which the parties dispute:
- 4. The other factual issues [e.g. service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction or venue? which remain unresolved for the reason stated below and how the parties propose to resolve those issues:
 - 5. The parties which have not been served and the reasons:
- 6. The additional parties which the below-specified parties intend to join and the intended time frame for such joinder:
- 7. The following parties consent to assignment of this case to a United States Magistrate Judge for [court or jury] trial:

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

_	8. [Please indicate the appropriate response(s).]
	The case was automatically assigned to Nonbinding Arbitration at filing and will be ready for the hearing by (date)
	The parties have filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR process (specify process):
	The parties filed a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference and the phone conference was held on or is scheduled for
	The parties have not filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR process and the ADR process that the parties jointly request [or a party separately requests] is

9. Please indicate any other information regarding ADR process or deadline.

DISCLOSURES

10. The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures [list disclosures of persons, documents, damage computations and insurance agreements]:

DISCOVERY

11. The parties agree to the following discovery plan [Describe the plan e.g., any limitation on the number, duration or subject matter for various kinds of discovery; discovery from experts; deadlines for completing discovery]:

TRIAL SCHEDULE

- 12. The parties request a trial date as follows:
- 13. The parties expect that the trial will last for the following number of days:

Dated:			
	[Typed name and signature of counsel.]		
Dated:			
	[Typed name and signature of counsel.]		

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDEK

The Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order. In addition the Court orders:

[The Court may wish to make additional orders, such as:

- a. Referral of the parties to court or private ADR process;
- b. Schedule a further Case Management Conference;
- c. Schedule the time and content of supplemental disclosures;
- d. Specially set motions;
- e. Impose limitations on disclosure or discovery;
- f. Set time for disclosure of identity, background and opinions of experts;
- g. Set deadlines for completing fact and expert discovery;
- h. Set time for parties to me et and confer regarding pretrial submissions;
- I. Set deadline for hearing motions directed to the merits of the case;
- j. Set deadline for submission of pretrial material;
- k. Set date and time for pretrial conference;
- l. Set a date and time for trial.]

Dated:	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

STANDING ORDERS

(Rev. 01/2002)

1. Within 30 days of filing a complaint, plaintiff shall serve and file either a written consent to Magistrate Judge Zimmerman's jurisdiction or a written request for reassignment to a district judge. Within 30 days of being served with a complaint or third party complaint, a defendant or third party defendant shall serve and file either a written consent to Magistrate Judge Zimmerman's jurisdiction or a written request for reassignment to a district judge.

- Civil law and motion is heard on the first and third Wednesdays of every month at 10:00 a.m. Criminal law and motion is heard on the second and fourth Wednesdays of every month at 1:30 p.m.
- 3. A copy of any brief or other document containing a legal citation **shall** be submitted to chambers on a diskette formatted preferably in WordPerfect 5, 6, 8 or 9. A chambers copy of any document **may** be submitted on CD-ROM with hypertext links to exhibits.
- 4. Any proposed order in a case subject to electronic filing shall be sent by e-mail to: bzpo@cand.uscourts.gov. This address is to be used only for proposed orders unless otherwise directed by the court.
- 5. Unless expressly requested by the Court, documents should **not be faxed** to chambers but should be filed or lodged in accordance with the Local Rules of Court. The Court should not be routinely copied on correspondence between counsel.
 - 6. Motions for summary judgment shall be accompanied by a statement of

the material facts not in dispute supported by citations to admissible evidence. The parties shall file a joint statement of undisputed facts where possible. If the parties are unable to reach complete agreement after meeting and conferring, they shall file a joint statement of the undisputed facts about which they do agree. Any party may then file a separate statement of the additional facts that the party contends are undisputed. A party who without substantial justification contends that a fact is in dispute is subject to sanctions.

7. Parties are reminded that most procedural questions are answered in the Local Rules or these Standing Orders. Parties should not contact Chambers for answers to procedural questions. The Local Rules are available for public viewing at the Court's internet site - http://www.cand.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 16, 2002

BERNARD ZIMMERMAN United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT OF CASE TO A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR TRIAL

Pursuant to General Order 44, the Assignment Plan of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, this case has been randomly assigned to Magistrate Judge

Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), with written consent of all parties, a magistrate judge may conduct all proceedings in the case. Attached is a form to complete if you consent to proceed before the assigned magistrate judge and a form to complete if you decline to proceed before the assigned magistrate judge. Electronic versions of both forms are also available at the Court's Internet site: http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Click on Forms-Civil. A party is free to withhold consent without adverse consequences. If a party declines to consent, the case will be randomly reassigned to a district judge and a case management conference will be scheduled on the district judge's calendar as close as possible to the date presently scheduled before the magistrate judge.

You must file your consent or declination by the deadline for filing the initial case management statement.

The plaintiff or removing party shall serve a copy of this notice and all attachments upon all other parties to this action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4 and 5.

FOR THE COURT RICHARD W. WIEKING, CLERK

By: Deputy Clerk

-		
1		
2		No.
з		
4		
5		N. Committee of the Com
6	UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8		
9	,	No. C
10	Plaintiff(s),	CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	v.	CATED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	,	
<u>1</u> 3 ع	Defendant(s).	1
For the Northern District of California		
<u>ş</u> 15		FORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16 آ	-	s of Title 28, U.S.C. Section 636(c), the undersigned party
호17 말	-	nited States Magistrate Judge conduct any and all further
	•	and order the entry of a final judgment. Appeal from the
19	judgment shall be taken directly to the U	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
20		
21	Dated:	Signature
22		Counsel for
23		(Plaintiff, Defendant or indicate "pro se")
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITEI	O STATES DISTRICT COURT
8		RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	,	No. C
11	Plaintiff(s),	DECLINATION TO PROCEED BEFORE
12	v.	A MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13 14	,	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
ร 17 ธ ช15	Defendant(s).	/
15 16 Northern Distinct of 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		
일 17	•	MENT TO A UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
<u>د</u> 18		declines to consent to the assignment of this case to a United
19		isposition and hereby requests the reassignment of this case to
20	a United States District Judge.	
21	Dated:	Signature
22	Dated.	Counsel for
23		(Plaintiff, Defendant, or indicate "pro se")
24		
25		
26		•
27		
28		